1

Some of the views expressed in the following notes on newly approved products should be regarded as tentative, as there may be limited published data and little experience in Australia of their safety or efficacy. However, the Editorial Executive Committee believes that comments made in good faith at an early stage may still be of value. As a result of fuller experience, initial comments may need to be modified. The Committee is prepared to do this Before new drugs are prescribed. the Committee believes it is important that full information is obtained from the manufacturer's approved product information, a drug information centre or some other appropriate source.

Aust Prescr 2012;35:128-35

New drugs

Rilpivirine

Approved indication: HIV

Endurent (Janssen-Cilag) 25 mg film-coated tablets Australian Medicines Handbook section 5.4.2

Rilpivirine is a new antiretroviral for HIV. Like other non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors – efavirenz (Aust Prescr 1999;22:147-51), etravirine (Aust Prescr 2009;32:51-5) and nevirapine – rilpivirine reduces viral DNA synthesis by inhibiting HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. It is indicated in combination with other antiretroviral drugs for treatment-naïve patients with a viral load less than 100 000 copies/mL.

Following a dose-finding study, two phase III trials compared the efficacy of once-daily rilpivirine (25 mg) and efavirenz (600 mg) added to different antiretroviral regimens.^{2,3} At enrolment, patients had to have a viral load of at least 5000 copies/mL and be sensitive to the background drug regimen. There were three background regimens in the THRIVE trial² (tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine, zidovudine/lamivudine or abacavir/lamivudine) and one regimen in the ECHO trial³ (tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine). Rilpivirine was non-inferior to efavirenz in both trials. Overall, 84% of patients who added rilpivirine had a viral load of 50 copies/mL or less after 48 weeks compared to 82% of those who added efavirenz. Increases in CD4 T cell counts were noted with both treatments.4

Not all patients responded to treatment in the trials, and virological failure was more common with rilpivirine than with efavirenz – 10% (72 of 686 patients) vs 6% (39 of 682 patients). The majority of treatment failures with rilpivirine (53 cases) occurred in patients with a high baseline viral load (>100 000 copies/mL).⁵ Cross-resistance to other non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors is likely when the virus has become resistant to rilpivirine.

Rilpivirine seemed to be better tolerated than efavirenz with fewer adverse events leading to discontinuation (1.6% vs 4%). In the trials, the most common adverse effects (grade 2 or more) with rilpivirine were depression (3.5%), insomnia (2.9%), headache (2.6%), rash (2.2%), abnormal dreams (1.5%), nausea (1.2%) and dizziness (0.7%). There have been two attempted suicides and one suicide ideation with rilpivirine.

Rilpivirine should be taken with a meal as absorption is increased. Maximum plasma concentrations are reached after 4–5 hours. The elimination half-life is 50

hours with most of the drug and its metabolites being excreted in faeces.

Rilpivirine is metabolised by the cytochrome P450 3A system so drugs that induce this may reduce concentrations of rilpivirine and lead to treatment failure. Drugs that are contraindicated include carbamazepine, phenobarbitone, phenytoin, rifampicin, other non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, systemic dexamethasone (multiple doses) and St John's wort. Drugs that increase gastric pH such as proton pump inhibitors may also reduce plasma concentrations and are contraindicated.

Rilpivirine is a pregnancy category B1 drug. It should only be used in pregnancy if the maternal benefit outweighs the risk to the fetus.

Most patients in the trials responded to rilpivirine. However, response rates in the real world may be lower as patients with resistance to the background antiretrovirals were excluded from the trials. Although rilpivirine appears to be better tolerated than efavirenz, viral resistance is more common.

T manufacturer provided the AusPAR

REFERENCES *

- Pozniak AL, Morales-Ramirez J, Katabira E, Steyn D, Lupo SH, Santoscoy M, et al. Efficacy and safety of TMC278 in antiretroviral-naive HIV-1 patients: week 96 results of a phase IIb randomized trial. AIDS 2010:24:55-65.
- Cohen CJ, Andrade-Villanueva J, Clotet B, Fourie J, Johnson MA, Ruxrungtham K, et al. Rilpivirine versus efavirenz with two background nucleoside or nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors in treatment-naive adults infected with HIV-1 (THRIVE): a phase 3, randomised, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2011:378:229-37.
- Molina JM, Cahn P, Grinsztejn B, Lazzarin A, Mills A, Saag M, et al. Rilpivirine versus efavirenz with tenofovir and emtricitabine in treatment-naive adults infected with HIV-1 (ECHO): a phase 3 randomised double-blind activecontrolled trial. Lancet 2011;378:238-46.
- 4. Cohen C, Molina JM, Cahn P, Clotet B, Fourie J, Grinsztejn B, et al. Efficacy and safety of rilpivirine (TM278) versus efavirenz at 48 weeks in treatment-naïve, HIV-1-infected patients: pooled results from the phase 3 double-blind, randomized ECHO and THRIVE trials.

 J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2012;60:33-42.
- Rimsky L, Vingerhoets J, Van Eygen V, Eron J, Clotet B, Hoogstoel A, et al. Genotypic and phenotypic characterization of HIV-1 isolates obtained from patients on rilpivirine therapy experiencing virologic failure in the phase 3 ECHO and THRIVE studies: 48-week analysis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2012;59:39-46.

First published online 14 May 2012

The T-score (**T**) is explained in 'New drugs: T-score for transparency', Aust Prescr 2011;34:26–7.

* At the time the comment was prepared, information about this drug was available on the website of the Food and Drug Administration in the USA (www.fda.gov).