

acting as a knowledgeable guide to help them obtain the required care from their treating practitioner or another medical practitioner. ◀

This article is provided by MDA National. It recommends that you contact your indemnity provider if you need specific advice in relation to your insurance policy.

REFERENCES

1. Health Care Complaints Commission v Dr Annette Dao Quynh Do (2013) NSWMT 7.
2. Non-inquest findings: Investigation into the death of William Charles Wallrock. Findings of Christine Clements, Brisbane Coroner. Brisbane: Coroner's Court; 2014. http://www.courts.qld.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0011/337979/cif-wallrock-wc-20141126.pdf [cited 2015 Oct 20]
3. Medicines, Poisons and Therapeutic Goods Regulation 2008, SL2008-42 (ACT). (Jul 21, 2015).
4. Poisons and Therapeutic Goods Regulation 2008, 2008-392 (NSW). (Jun 19, 2015).
5. Medicines, Poisons and Therapeutic Goods Act 2014 (NT), Act No. 12. (Apr 16, 2014).
6. Health (Drugs and Poisons) Regulation 1996 (Qld). (Oct 1, 2014).
7. Controlled Substances (Poisons) Regulations 2011 (SA). (Jul 11, 2013).
8. Poisons Regulations 2008 (Tas), S.R. 2008, No. 162. (Aug 12, 2015).
9. Drugs, Poisons and Controlled Substances Regulations 2006 (Vic), S.R. No. 57/2006. (Mar 10, 2015).
10. Poisons Act 1964 (WA), Version 09-f0-03. (Jul 2, 2015).
11. South Australian Current Regulations. Controlled Substances (Poisons) Regulations 2011 – Reg 37. www5.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/sa/consol_reg/csr2011451/s37.html [cited 2015 Oct 20]
12. Medical Board of Australia. Good medical practice: a code of conduct for doctors in Australia. 2014.
13. Medical Council of NSW. Guideline for self-treatment and treating family members. 2014.
14. Walter JK, Lang CW, Ross LF. When physicians forego the doctor-patient relationship, should they elect to self-prescribe or curbside? An empirical and ethical analysis. *J Med Ethics* 2010;36:19-23. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jme.2009.032169>

FURTHER READING

Medical Board of Australia. Update, August 2015. www.medicalboard.gov.au/News/Newsletters/August-2015.aspx#treating [cited 2015 Oct 20]

Exciting things happening in the digital space

Australian Prescriber is confirming its place as a trusted source of independent information by meeting the new standards of scholarly publication.¹

We are pleased to announce that *Australian Prescriber* has been accepted for inclusion in PubMed Central – a free archive of full-text biomedical and life sciences journal articles, hosted by the US National Library of Medicine.

Starting from this issue (Volume 39, Number 1), all articles will be available through PubMed Central within a month. Back issues of *Australian Prescriber* will also be added over time.

Inclusion in PubMed Central is a significant milestone for *Australian Prescriber*. Readers will now be able to search for and access *Australian Prescriber* articles through PubMed – the database of choice for researching medical literature.

Other developments include the assignment of digital object identifiers (DOIs) to all articles in *Australian Prescriber* through CrossRef. DOIs are links that preserve the scholarly citation record. In addition to

displaying our own DOIs, we will include the DOI of each of the cited references if they have one. This will make it easier for our readers to link directly to other relevant articles.

Australian Prescriber will soon start using Altmetrics as an alternative to traditional impact factors. Altmetrics is an online tool that will monitor the impact of our articles across traditional and social media, online reference managers, post-publication peer-review sites, and public policy documents. Watch out for the Altmetrics badge or 'doughnut' displayed next to *Australian Prescriber*'s most popular articles. It will give a score indicating the quantity and quality of attention the article has received. Readers can click on the badge and get direct access to the online conversations about the article.

Australian Prescriber has been freely available online since 1996, and has been listed in the Directory of Open Access Journals since 2003. With the rise in online 'predatory' journals,¹ however, the requirements for inclusion in the directory have increased. We are now in the process of meeting these new standards.

Aust Prescr 2016;39:13
<http://dx.doi.org/10.18773/austprescr.2016.012>

REFERENCE

1. Dowden J. Forty and forward? *Aust Prescr* 2015;38:146-7.