public funding for this group become significantly weaker. For healthy consenting adults, their individual judgement about the importance of avoiding influenza is central to determining the value to them of being vaccinated. This increases the likelihood that adults with the means, or their employers, will pay for vaccination, and reduces the imperative for governments to take over the responsibility of funding vaccination for this group.

Future directions

Influenza vaccination policy, like the influenza virus, evolves at a relatively rapid rate. Emerging evidence from the Ontario experience of universal vaccination will be closely assessed by policy makers, including the NHMRC in its review of the National Immunisation Handbook and the US Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, which is currently considering universal influenza vaccination. If this is recommended, vaccine production will need to be increased considerably.

A key issue is whether indirect costs of illness (for example, days off work) will be considered in cost-effectiveness calculations used to develop the case for public funding. If these costs are included, it is likely that cost-effectiveness ratios will improve significantly. Making public funding available on this basis will amount to a slight increase in taxation funding and a slight increase in health expenditure, and should result in slightly improved national productivity. Whether our governments are ready to accept arguments that preventive health expenditure is a useful public investment that drives productivity growth, remains to be seen.

Acknowledgement: Meagan Morrison provided research assistance in the preparation of this article.

References

- 1. The Australian Immunisation Handbook. 8th ed. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council; 2003.
- 2. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). Prevention and control of influenza. MMWR recommendations and reports 2006;55(RR10):1-42.

- Abramson JS, Neuzil KM, Tamblyn SE. Annual universal influenza vaccination: ready or not? Clin Infect Dis 2006;42:132-5.
- Firestone SM, Barr IG, Roche PW, Walker JC. Annual report of the national influenza surveillance scheme, 2005. Commun Dis Intell 2006;30:189-200.
- Review of government service provision. Report on government services 2006. Canberra: Australian Government Productivity Commission; 2006. http://www.pc.gov.au/gsp/reports/rogs/2006/index.html [cited 2007 Mar 6]
- 6. Causes of death. Australia 2004. 3303.0. Australian Bureau of Statistics; 2006.

http://www.abs.gov.au [cited 2007 Mar 6]

- World Health Organization. Recommended composition of influenza virus vaccines for use in the 2007 influenza season. Weekly epidemiological record No 41, 2006. http://www.who.int/wer/2006/wer8141.pdf [cited 2007 Mar 6]
- Demicheli V, Rivetti D, Deeks JJ, Jefferson TO. Vaccines for preventing influenza in healthy adults (Cochrane Review). The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2004, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD001269. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD001269.
- Thomas RE, Jefferson T, Demicheli V, Rivetti D. Influenza vaccination for healthcare workers who work with the elderly. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2006, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD005187. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD005187.
- Rothberg MB, Rose DN. Vaccination versus treatment of influenza in working adults: a cost-effectiveness analysis. Am J Med 2005;118:68-77.

Conflict of interest: none declared

Self-test questions

The following statements are either true or false (answers on page 55)

- 1. The influenza vaccine protects 90% of healthy adults against clinical infection with influenza.
- 2. Vaccinating staff working in aged care facilities reduces influenza-like illness in unimmunised residents.

Dental notes

Prepared by Dr M McCullough of the Australian Dental Association

Influenza vaccination for healthy adults

Very few dentists fall into the groups eligible for free vaccination under the National Immunisation Program. However, it is likely that all dentists working in both private and public practice are routinely having an annual vaccination against influenza. This decision to be vaccinated and the discussion about it, is likely to strongly influence work colleagues, dental nurses, oral hygienists and therapists, as well as patients.